

Violent Relaxation Around a Massive Black Hole

M. Stiavelli ¹

Space Telescope Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

and

Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, I56126, Pisa, Italy

Received _____; accepted _____

arXiv:astro-ph/9801021v1 5 Jan 1998

¹on assignment from the Space Science Department of the European Space Agency

ABSTRACT

I present galaxy models resulting from violent relaxation in the presence of a pre-existing black hole. The models are computed by maximizing the entropy of the stellar dynamical system. I show that their properties are very similar to those of adiabatic growth models for a suitable choice of parameters. This suggests that observations of nuclear light profiles and kinematics alone may not be sufficient to discriminate between scenarios where a black hole grows adiabatically in the core of a galaxy, and scenarios where the black hole formation preceeds galaxy formation.

subject headings: galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD — galaxies: nuclei — galaxies: formation

1. Introduction

The search for massive black holes in the nuclei of galaxies began with the pioneering work of Young and collaborators (1978, 1980), who computed the effect of the adiabatic growth of a black hole on the underlying stellar population of a galaxy, represented by an isothermal sphere, and applied these models to M87. Further progress in stellar dynamics uncovered a rich variety of possible stellar equilibria (see, e.g., Binney and Mamon 1982), and urged for more sophisticated studies to certify the validity of black hole identifications based on simple models. The existence of black holes in the cores of galaxies is now unambiguously established for the nucleus of NGC 4258, where the rotation curve from water maser emission has been measured down to the parsec scale (Miyoshi et al. 1995). This has changed the prevalent attitude from that of *proving* that black holes existed to that of *understanding* what is the interplay between their properties and those of the nuclei where they reside.

On the observational side, the refurbished Hubble Space Telescope has provided data on the cores of galaxies with unprecedented angular resolution (e.g., Harms et al. 1994; van der Marel et al. 1997a,b; Kormendy et al. 1995, 1996; Macchetto et al. 1997). On the theoretical side, models constructed with the Schwarzschild (1979) technique have allowed one to confirm the generality of the conclusions based on simpler models (van der Marel et al. 1998). The combination of photometry and spectroscopy with HST, and state-of-the-art dynamical modeling, has revealed that adiabatic black hole growth in isothermal cores may provide a fairly good representation of the data (van der Marel et al. 1998; van der Marel 1998). The adiabatic growth scenario implies that black holes are grown mostly from external gas rather than from disrupting stars. This has wide-ranging consequences on the way black holes and active galactic nuclei, and ultimately galaxies, form and evolve.

The question remains whether the adiabatic black hole growth is a *unique* representation

of the observed galactic nuclear properties, i.e., whether a generally good fit by adiabatic models does provide a decisive constraint about how galactic nuclei formed. To explore this issue, one can investigate the physically opposite formation scenario where the massive black hole pre-exists, and the galaxy forms around it via a process of violent relaxation. Numerical studies have been inconclusive for a variety of reasons, including, e.g., their small number of particles (Dekel, Kowitt, and Shaham 1981), grid effects in the neighbourhood of the central mass concentration (Udry 1993), or because focussed mostly on the isophotal effects of BH growth (Norman, May and van Albada 1985). In this letter I follow a different approach. In Section 2 I present a brief summary of how the statistical mechanics of violent relaxation can be used to derive the core properties of a galaxy. In Section 3 I describe the violent-relaxation models, which I compare to the adiabatic models. Section 4 sums up.

2. Statistical Mechanics of Violent Relaxation

Violent relaxation allows a collisionless stellar dynamical system to reach equilibrium by means of strong fluctuations of the mean gravitational potential. In the absence of *degeneracy* (i.e., if the phase space density of the system is everywhere well below the maximum allowed by Liouville’s theorem), the end-product of violent relaxation is an isothermal distribution function (Lynden-Bell 1967, hereafter LB67; Shu 1978). Given that such distribution function is characterized by an unphysical infinite mass, suitable truncations have been investigated. In his seminal paper, LB67 already pointed out the astrophysical problem of the *incompleteness* of violent relaxation as the solution to the problem of the unphysical infinite mass of the maximum entropy distribution function. Other authors since then have investigated the incompleteness paradigm with a variety of methods (e.g., Tremaine, Hénon and Lynden-Bell 1986; Stiavelli & Bertin 1987; Stiavelli 1987; Madsen 1987, Shu 1987; Hjort and Madsen 1991;).

A second important aspect of the violent relaxation distribution function, which was also pointed out by LB67 but has received less attention, is that of *degeneracy*. Here I focus on this issue. To do so, I first rederive the expression of the maximum entropy distribution function by including also an external potential. In the notation of Stiavelli & Bertin (1987; see also Shu 1978) I define a microstate as a set of occupation numbers in 6-dimensional microcells small enough that at most one star will occupy each microcell. This is readily ensured by selecting $g = 1/p_{max}$ as the microcell size, with p_{max} the maximum initial value of the distribution function before collapse, merging, or any other violent relaxation mechanism which is provided by nature at galaxy formation. In a collisionless formation scenario, Liouville's theorem guarantees that in its subsequent evolution, the distribution function will never exceed this value. One can now partition the phase space into coarser macrocells, with each macrocell containing ν_a microcells. The observable state of the system will depend only on the occupation numbers n_a of these macrocells, which we term a macrostate. In general $0 \leq n_a \leq \min(\nu_a, N)$, with N the total number of stars in the system. Let M be the total number of macrocells.

The entropy of the system is $S = \log W(n_a)$ where

$$W(n_a) = \frac{N!}{n_1! \dots n_M!} \frac{\nu_1! \dots \nu_M!}{(\nu_1 - n_1)! \dots (\nu_M - n_M)!} \quad (1)$$

is the number of microstates associated with a given macrostate. By using the Stirling approximation one finds:

$$S = - \sum_{a=1}^M n_a \log n_a - \sum_{a=1}^M (\nu_a - n_a) \log (\nu_a - n_a) + const. \quad (2)$$

The entropy is maximized under the constraints of the conservation of the total energy and number of particles, namely:

$$E_{tot} = \sum_{a=1}^M m n_a \left(\frac{v_a^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_a^{(int)} + \Phi_a^{(ext)} \right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$N = \sum_{a=1}^M n_a \quad (4)$$

where in the expression for the energy I have separated the gravitational potential in an internal component due to self-gravity, $\Phi_a^{(int)}$, and an external component, $\Phi_a^{(ext)}$, and have indicated with m and v_a the particle masses and velocities, respectively. The resulting maximum entropy distribution function is given by:

$$n_a = \frac{\nu_a}{1 + \exp(\beta E_a - \mu)} \quad (5)$$

with $E_a = \frac{1}{2}mv_a^2 + m\Phi_a^{(int)} + m\Phi_a^{(ext)}$ the energy per particle, and β and μ the Lagrange multipliers for the energy and number of particles, respectively. The ratio μ/β is the chemical potential of the system. For a Fermi-Dirac type distribution function such as that of Eq. 5, the chemical potential can be either positive or negative. Its value represents the so-called Fermi energy, i.e. the upper limit to the individual particle energy of completely degenerate systems.

Whenever $1 \ll \exp(\beta E_a - \mu)$, one has $n_a \ll \nu_a$, i.e. most of the microcells are not occupied, and the system is non-degenerate. In this limit the distribution function is that of the isothermal sphere.

It is unclear whether the degenerate limit, where $n_a \simeq \nu_a$, is relevant for the cores of elliptical galaxies in the absence of black holes. However, this limit is relevant in the presence of a central black hole, as already pointed out by Stiavelli (1987). In the presence of a massive black hole of mass M_{BH} , one has that $\Phi^{(ext)}(r_a) = -GM_{BH}/r_a$, having indicated with r_a the radial coordinate of macrocell a . This component dominates the underlying gravitational potential at sufficiently small radii. Consequently, for large portions of phase space $\exp(\beta E_a) \ll 1$, and $n_a \simeq \nu_a$, i.e. the system becomes degenerate at small radii. I present the resulting models in the next section. It should be noted that incompleteness does not affect my conclusions, since we are dealing with the nuclear galactic regions, where

relaxation is expected to be complete.

3. Violent Relaxation in the Presence of a Massive Black Hole

Let's rewrite for convenience the distribution function in continuous representation by dropping the macrocell indices:

$$f(v, r) = \frac{A}{1 + \exp(\beta E - \mu)} \quad (6)$$

with A a normalization constant. At large radii the non-degenerate limit applies, and the density and velocity dispersion are those of the isothermal sphere:

$$\rho = 4\pi \int_0^\infty f(v, r) v^2 dv \propto r^{-2} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{4\pi}{3\rho} \int_0^\infty f(v, r) v^4 dv = \beta^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

At radii sufficiently small so that the black hole dominates the gravitational potential, one can estimate the integrals in Eq. (7) and (8) with the saddle point method to find $\rho \propto r^{-3/2}$ and $\sigma^2 \propto r^{-1}$. These slopes are equal to those obtained for models where the black hole grows adiabatically in the core of an isothermal sphere. Black holes grown adiabatically in non-isothermal models produce steeper cusps (Quinlan, Hernquist and Sigurdsson 1995), which are therefore distinguishable from those of the models presented here. In order to carry out a more detailed comparison, I have computed a number of self-consistent models from the distribution function of Eq. (6) for a variety of degeneracy parameters μ and of black hole masses.

In Figure 1 and 2 I show the comparison between the projected surface brightness and velocity dispersion for (i) violent-relaxation, degenerate models (solid lines), and for (ii) adiabatic black hole growth models (squares). The latter refer to an initial cored isothermal

sphere and have been computed by using software kindly made available to me by G.D. Quinlan, and described in Quinlan et al. (1995; for the model properties see also Young 1980; Lee and Goodman 1989; Cipollina and Bertin 1994). I have assumed black hole to galaxy core mass ratios of $M_{BH}/M_{core} = 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$. In the violent relaxation models, the value of the degeneracy parameter μ depends on the initial conditions prior to the formation of each galaxy, and therefore it is an additional free parameter. Numerical experiments of dissipationless galaxy formation (without a central black hole) indicate that the maximum final phase space density is close to that of the initial conditions (e.g., Londrillo, Messina, and Stiavelli 1991). This suggests that μ is of order unity. The models of Figures 1 and 2 have been obtained for $\mu = 0.5$. No best fit to the adiabatic growth models has been attempted. If μ varies, the slope of the inner surface brightness profile is affected: larger values of μ provide flatter slopes, and smaller values provide steeper slopes. This ensures that the agreement between the models presented here and the adiabatic models could be improved if μ were treated as a free parameter. For the case of $M_{BH}/M_{core} = 0.3$, I show in Figure 3 the projected surface brightness profiles for $\mu = 0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1$.

The effect of degeneracy increases with increasing μ . For values of $\mu \ll 0$ the models become increasingly similar to the isothermal sphere without a black hole but show a bi-modal density profile, with an overluminous cusp, when a black hole potential is included. Models with $\mu \gg 1$ are degenerate even in the absence of a black hole.

Both the adiabatic models and the maximum entropy models ignore two physical effects: *i*) the fact that the black hole may be not at rest in the center and, *ii*) stellar disruption by the black hole. For the models presented here, the former should probably not affect significantly the end result which does not depend on the detailed fluctuations of the gravitational potential. The latter would introduce a tangential anisotropy in the orbital distribution close to the black hole and alter the cusp profile at very small radii. A

precise numerical evaluation of these effects goes beyond the scope of this paper.

The main conclusion that one can draw from this study is that there is a fairly good agreement between adiabatic growth models and degenerate, violent relaxation models, for the *same* values of black hole to galaxy core mass ratio.

4. Conclusions

Based on statistical mechanical arguments, I have derived the distribution function which results from violent relaxation in the presence of a pre-existing massive black hole, i.e. in the case where the formation of the black hole acts as a seed for the formation of the galaxy. The corresponding stellar dynamical models are qualitatively similar to models of adiabatic growth of a central black hole from an isothermal core, i.e. the physically opposite scenario, where the formation of the galaxy precedes that of the central black hole. For appropriate values of the degeneracy parameter μ , which is arbitrary in the description that I have presented, the two scenarios become essentially indistinguishable. It is unlikely that the models could be distinguished on the basis of high spatial resolution line profiles since the central tangential anisotropy of adiabatic models is small and, in any case, stellar disruption could produce a similar anisotropy also in the maximum entropy models. This implies that on the basis of fits to the observed black hole cusps in galaxies, we are unable to infer the formation mechanism of the black hole and of its cusp.

5. Acknowledgements

I thank Giuseppe Bertin, Marcella Carollo and Roeland van der Marel for useful discussions, and Gerald Quinlan for kindly providing the code to compute adiabatic growth models. The anonymous referee provided useful comments. This work has been partially

supported by MURST of Italy and by the Italian Space Agency (ASI).

REFERENCES

- Binney J.J., and Mamon G.A., 1982, MNRAS, 200, 361.
- Cipollina M., and Bertin G., 1994, AA, 288, 43.
- Dekel A., Kowitt M., and Shaham J., 1981, ApJ, 250, 561.
- Harms R.J., et al., 1994, ApJ, 435L, 35.
- Hjorth J., and Madsen J., 1991, MNRAS, 253, 703.
- Kormendy J., et al., 1996, ApJ, 473L, 91.
- Kormendy J., et al., 1995, ApJ, 459L, 57.
- Lee M.H., and Goodman J., 1989, ApJ, 343, 594.
- Londrillo P., Messina A., and Stiavelli M., MNRAS, 250, 54.
- Lynden-Bell D., 1967, MNRAS, 136, 101 (LB67).
- Macchetto, F., Marconi A., Axon D.J., Capetti A., Sparks W., Crane P., 1997, ApJ, 489, 579.
- Madsen J., 1987, ApJ, 316, 497.
- Miyoshi M., et al. 1995, Nature 373, 127.
- Norman C.A., May A., and van Albada T.S., 1985, ApJ, 296, 30.
- Quinlan G.D., Hernquist L., Sigurdsson S., 1995, ApJ 440, 554.
- Schwarzschild M., 1979, ApJ 232, 236.
- Shu F., 1978, ApJ, 225, 83.

Shu F., 1987, ApJ, 316, 502.

Stiavelli M., 1987, Mem. Soc. Astron. It., 58, 477.

Stiavelli M., Bertin G., 1987, MNRAS, 229, 61.

Tremaine S., Henon M., Lynden-Bell D., 1986, MNRAS, 219, 285.

Udry, S., AA, 268, 35.

Young P.J., 1980, ApJ, 242, 1232.

Young P.J., Westphal J.A., Kristian J., Wilson C.P., 1978, ApJ, 221, 721.

van der Marel R.P., de Zeeuw P.T., Rix H.-W., 1997a, ApJ, 488, 119.

van der Marel R.P., de Zeeuw P.T., Rix H.-W., Quinlan G.D., 1997b, Nature, 385, 610.

van der Marel R.P., 1998, in ‘Galaxy Interactions at Low and High Redshift’ Proc. IAU
Symp. 186, D. B. Sanders, J. Barnes, eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, in press.

van der Marel R.P., Cretton N., de Zeeuw P.T., Rix H.W., 1998, ApJ, 493, in press

6. Figure Captions

Figure 1: Comparison of the projected surface brightness between my models ($\mu = 0.5$, solid lines), and adiabatic black hole growth models (squares). Plotted are curves for black hole to galaxy core mass ratios $M_{BH}/M_{core} = 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$. There is a fairly good agreement between the two families of models for large M_{BH}/M_{core} values. The radius R is measured in units of the core radius.

Figure 2: Comparison of the projected projected velocity dispersion between my models ($\mu = 0.5$, solid lines), and models of adiabatic black hole growth (squares). The black hole to galaxy core mass ratios are $M_{BH}/M_{core} = 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$. The radius R is in units of the core radius. The model without a black hole, not shown in the figure, has a velocity dispersion profile characterized by the presence of a small step, which occurs at the radius where degeneracy starts affecting the distribution function. A residual of this effect is seen for small values of M_{BH}/M_{core} . It disappears for $M_{BH}/M_{core} \simeq 0.3$.

Figure 3: Projected surface brightness profiles for degenerate, violent relaxation models with $M_{BH}/M_{core} = 0.3$, and degeneracy parameter $\mu = 0$ (dot-dashed), 0.2 (long dashed), 0.5 (solid), 0.7 (short dashed), and 1 (dotted).





